

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.62219 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-193 Year-2021 Thana- BAKHTIYARPUR District- Patna

Viranjan Kumar @ Hanuman, Son of Dharmvir Singh, Resident of Village-
Laxmanpur, P.O.- Chanda, P.S.- Bakhtiyarpur, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ashis Giri, Adv Ms. Riya Giri, Adv Mr. Sumit Kumar Jha
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Shyam Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 16-02-2023 Heard Ld. counsel for the petitioner and Ld. APP
for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Bakhtiyarpur P.S. Case No.193 of 2021, registered for the
offence punishable under Section 392 of the Indian Penal
Code.

The prosecution case as emerges from the FIR is
that on 25.09.2021 when the informant was going to his
office, three unknown persons stopped him and snatched his
mobile and money cards, showing pistol. It is also alleged
that they took informant's *pulsar* motorcycle and fled away
towards Bakhtiyarpur.



Ld. counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR because the FIR has been lodged against unknown persons. He also submits that no T.I.P has been conducted till date. He further submits that as per the Police, the stolen byke has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner; however, no recovery has been made from the conscious possession of the petitioner. He further submits that investigation in this case is complete and charge-sheet has already been submitted.

He further submits that the petitioner has been languishing in jail since 05.05.2022.

It has also been stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has earlier been made accused in two other cases.

It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one.

However, Ld. APP for the State vehemently



opposes the prayer of the petitioner for bail.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, **this application is allowed**, directing the petitioner, above-named, to be enlarged on bail on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Ld. Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-III, Barh, Patna in connection with Bakhtiyarpur P.S. Case No.193 of 2021 on the following conditions:

(i) The petitioner will make himself available for interrogation by a police officer/court as and when required.

(ii) The petitioner will undertake that investigation/trial will not get hampered on account of his absence or non-cooperation. He must be available to the police or the court whenever his presence is required.

(iii) The petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.



(iv) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has criminal antecedents other than the disclosed one, Ld. court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents despite his knowledge of the same.

(v) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, Ld. court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

Ld. counsel for the petitioner is directed to remove all the defects, if any, pointed out by the office within a period of one month and the Registry is directed to issue the certified copy of this order only after removal of office objections.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

chandan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

