

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66367 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-143 Year-2023 Thana- PANDARAK District- Patna

Sarun Yadav @ Sarun Kumar S/O Ramkrishna Yadav @ Ramkrit Prasad
Resident Of Village- Mamrkhabad, Gurutola, Gopkita, Police Station-
Pandarak, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ravi Shanker Pankaj, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ahmad Ali, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 01-11-2023 Heard Mr.Ravi Shanker Pankaj, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Mr.Ahmad Ali, learned Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with Pandarak P.S.Case No.143 of 2023,FIR dated
21.06.2023 registered for the offences punishable under Section
30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

3. Recovery is of 05 liters of country made Mahua
liquor.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has clean antecedent. He has falsely been implicated
in the present case. Further submits that from a bare perusal of
the FIR as well as the seizure list that nothing has been



recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner rather the recovery has been made near the Palm Tree which was situated near the Dalan of the petitioner and merely on the basis of suspicion the petitioner has been implicated in the present false case. There is non-compliance with mandatory procedure prescribed for recovery under Section 100 of Cr.P.C. No case, whatsoever, would be made out against the petitioner under the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner referring the provision contained in Section 76(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and submitted that the pre-arrest bail would not be maintainable. Further submits that the recovery has been made near the Dalan of the petitioner.

6. This Court is aware of the decision of the Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav Vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. Having regard to the law laid down in the aforesaid judgment and the submission advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court for the limited purpose of grant of anticipatory bail, is inclined to accept the submission of Counsel for the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts, petitioner has clean



antecedent, nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000 (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Special Judge(Excise), Barh, Patna in connection with Pandarak P.S.Case No.143 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and with other following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his/her criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for



cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

