

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.59230 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-754 Year-2018 Thana- GAYA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Gaya

-
1. Ajay Ravidas Son Of Ramshree Das @ Seth Ravidas R/O Village- Kachauri,
P.S.- Sherghati, District- Gaya
 2. Suchit Ravidas @ Sujit Ravidas Son Of Ramshree Das @ Seth Ravidas R/O
Village- Kachauri, P.S.- Sherghati, District- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar BIHAR
2. Dhano Devi W/O Mohan Das @ Mohan Ravidas R/O Village- Kachauri,
P.S.- Sherghati, District- Gaya

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Siddharth Harsh, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Singh, APP.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

4 07-02-2023 Learned counsel for the petitioners is permitted to

remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within

a period of three weeks from today.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in

connection with Complaint Case No. 754 of 2018 registered for

the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 379, 354 and

504 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code Section 3/4

of the Prevention of Witch (Daain) Practices Act, 1999.



As per the prosecution case, the petitioners are alleged to have abused and assaulted the informant by calling her Daain. They also snatched golden chain from her neck. It is further alleged that the petitioners disrobed the informant.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case. No such occurrence, as alleged has ever taken place. The petitioners have been dragged in this case on the basis of previous enmity of the land dispute. In fact, the petitioner has filed a Title Suit No. 161 of 2018 against the present complainant. There is case and counter case between both the parties. Learned counsel further submits that no case is made out against the petitioners. The petitioners have no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail petition of the petitioners.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the above named petitioners, in the event of their arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned, Sherghati,



Gaya in connection with Complaint Case No. 754 of 2018,
subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the
Code of Criminal Procedure.

The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

shakir/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

