

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9620 of 2016

1. Nathun Singh, Son of Late Bhikhar Singh
2. Ram Prakash Singh Son of Late Ram Bahadur Singh
3. Jhulan Singh Son of Late Sahdeo Singh All are residents of village - Amra Tola, Babhan Bigha, P.O. Rampur Oina, P.S. Parasi, District - Arwal

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
 2. Divisional Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya
 3. District Magistrate, Arwal
 4. Superintendent of Police, Arwal
 5. Additional Collector, Arwal
 6. Sub - Divisional Magistrate, Arwal
 7. Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Arwal, District Arwal
 8. Anchal Adhikari, Arwal, District Arwal
 9. Dashrath Paswan, Son of Parsuram Paswan
 10. Raja Pratap, Ram Son of Munsu Ram
 11. Ram Praveesh, Ram Son of Nathuni Ram
 12. Durjan Ram, Son of Gopal Ram
 13. Suchit Ram, Son of Dhaneshwar Ram
 14. Vinod Paswan, Son of Jhuni Ram
 15. Satyendra Paswan, Son of Munsu Ram
 16. Nirbhay Paswan, Son of Gariban Paswan
 17. Munna Paswan, Son of Ram Kishun Paswan
 18. Baban Paswan, Son of Muneshwar Paswan
 19. Ajay Ram, Son of Indradeo Ram
 20. Akhilesh Ram
 21. Kamlesh Ram
- Both are sons of Pradeep Ram All are residents of village - Amra Tola, Babhan Bigha, P.O. Rampur Oina, P.S. Parasi, District - Arwal

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jitendra Prasad Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anant Prasad Singh, SC-15

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN



ORAL ORDER

2 22-09-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that Bihar Land Dispute Resolution Case No. 6 of 2011 had been decided in favour of the petitioners by the Deputy Collector Land Reforms and against the said order, an appeal being Land Dispute Resolution Appeal No. 102 of 2011 had been preferred, but subsequently, the said appeal was withdrawn. As such, the order passed by the Deputy Collector Land Reforms was affirmed and still is in existence, but even then, the private respondents were disturbing the petitioners and, therefore, they came before this Court.

3. Learned counsel for the State has raised a preliminary objection and submits that since as per the argument of the petitioners, the order of the Deputy Collector Land Reforms is in favour of the petitioners then in that case, the petitioners have the remedy to file an application before the Deputy Collector Land Reforms under Section 15 of the Bihar Land Disputes Resolution Act, 2009 (Act 4 of 2010) for the execution of the said order.

4. In that view of the matter, the present writ petition stands disposed of directing the petitioners to file an application



before the Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Arwal for the execution of the said order.

5. With the above observation and direction, the present petition stands disposed of.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U			
---	--	--	--

