

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL REVISION No.608 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-262 Year-2019 Thana- RAGHOPUR District- Supaul

=====

XXX, Son Of Raj Kumar Singh Under The Natural Guardian Of Minor
Petitioner Namely Raj Kumari ,RESIDENT Of Village - Gokhala Ward No.7,
Bidupur, P.S. - Bidupur, District - Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ramesh Kumar Choudhary, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Akhileshwar Dayal, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

3 30-11-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner, who has been adjudged juvenile aged about 16 years 4 months on the alleged date of occurrence, is seeking setting aside of the order dated 03.06.2023 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge-1st -cum-Special Judge, Children Court, Supaul in Cr. Appeal No.14 of 2023 whereby and whereunder the learned court has been pleased to affirm the order dated 04.03.2023 passed by Juvenile Justice Board, Supaul in G.R. No.799 of 2019 (E.R. No.236/2023) arising out of Raghapur P.S. Case No.262 of 2019 registered under Sections 399, 402, 353 and 307 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25(1-b)a/26/35/27 of the Arms Act by which the prayer for bail

of the petitioner has been refused.

3. As per the prosecution story, allegedly huge quantity of arms and ammunition were recovered from the house of co-accused.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the name of the petitioner has transpired in the statement of the co-accused whose house was raided by police and some arms and ammunition were found from the house. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner has though got 14 criminal antecedents but in three of the cases he has been left to go on admonition by the learned trial court.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed this application. It is submitted that the petitioner being a juvenile has fallen in bad company and his name has been transpiring in several cases of robbery, dacoity and murder.

6. Having regard to the fact that the petitioner has been involved in 14 cases since the year 2018, he seems to have been apprehended only after a considerable period of about five years and in this case after about three years from the date of occurrence and the kind of observations having been made by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-1st-cum-Special Judge, Children Court, Supaul in the impugned order, this Court finds

no reason to interfere with the impugned order.

7. This application has no merit. It is dismissed.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

arvind/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--