

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.62818 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-171 Year-2023 Thana- SOHSARAI District- Nalanda

=====

RAUSHANI KUMARI W/O VIKASH BHARTI R/O MOHALLAH-
BHAINSASUR HOSPITAL MORE, NEAR SHRAMKALYAN KENDRA,
BIHAR, P.S- BIHAR SHARIF, DISTT.- NALANDA.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. BRANCH MANAGER, CENTRAL BANK OF INDIA, BRANCH-
KISHAN COLLEGE,SOHSARAI(NALANDA) BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Davendra Kumar Pandey, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anil Kumar, APP.

Mr. Sumit Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Ajit Kumar Sinha, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 16-10-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420,
409 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The allegation against the petitioner is that she has
sanctioned loans from the bank in the name of oil industry, but
has not invested the money in the alleged business and thus, her
loan accounts became NPA and there is a debt of Rs. 8,33,202/-
against the petitioner in her three loan accounts.

3. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence.



No such occurrence as alleged ever took place. She has been falsely implicated in this case due to ulterior motive. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is totally false and based on concocted facts. It is further submitted that the business of the petitioner was started in the year 2019 and thereafter, her business was completely affected due to lock down and as such the petitioner was unable to pay the installments regularly. He further submits that the informant has not filed any SARFAESI proceedings before the D.R.T. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para-3 of this application.

5. Learned APP for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that there is allegation against the petitioner that she utilized the loan amount in another work instead of utilizing the same in business for which the loan was sanctioned.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, as petitioner has not filed any SARFAESI proceedings before the DRT, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail, in the event of her arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five



Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Sohsarai P.S. Case No. 171 of 2023, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

divyanshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

