

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60092 of 2022**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-339 Year-2021 Thana- LAKHISARAI District- Lakhisarai

RAJESH KUMAR S/O ARJUN YADAV Resident of village- Ram Nagar,  
Ward No- 4, P.S.- Kiul, District- Lakhisarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                            |
|--------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| For the Petitioner       | : | Mr. Umesh Prasad, Advocate |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr. Narsingh Tanti, APP    |
| For the Informant        | : | Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      24-03-2023                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
A.P.P. for the State assisted by learned counsel for the  
informant.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in Lakhisarai  
(Amahra) P.S. Case No. 339 of 2021 registered for the offences  
punishable under Sections 67 and 67 (a) of the I.T. Act.

The allegation against the petitioner is that by  
forging the photographs and documents of marriage of the  
informant, he blackmailed her.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner  
that no such occurrence as alleged ever took place. He has been  
falsely implicated in this case due to enmity, malice and  
grudge. It is further submitted that all photographs tagged with



this F.I.R. in the sealed envelope was sent by the victim Puja Kumari to this petitioner. As a matter of fact, the victim Puja Kumari is major and aged about 23 years. The petitioner has three criminal antecedents as mentioned in para-3 of this application.

Learned APP for the State assisted by learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposing the bail petition submitted that considering the nature of the allegation, the petitioner does not deserve bail.

Considering the facts and circumstances of case, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail. The prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

However, if the petitioner surrenders before the learned Court below within six weeks from today and seek regular bail, the learned Court below would pass order in accordance with law without being prejudiced by this order.

**(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)**

Trivedi/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

