

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60079 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-371 Year-2020 Thana- KANKARBAG District- Patna

ANKIT KUMAR S/o Late Arvind Kumar @ Arvind Sharma R/o Mohalla-
R.M.S. Colony, P.S.- Kankarbagh, Distt- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dr. Ajeet Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

7 19-06-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 342, 323, 406, 420, 379, 120(B) and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the issue has been resolved amicably between the informant and the petitioner and a compromise petition has been filed in the learned District Court. Learned counsel next submits that since the informant is not willing to pursue the matter as such no useful purpose would be served by sending the petitioner to jail.

Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that no doubt it has been submitted that the matter has been compromised but



then the compromise petition is not on record.

Be that as it may, considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Kankarbagh P.S. Case No. 371 of 2020 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

However, the learned District Court before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify from the informant as to whether a compromise has been entered between him and the informant or not and in the event, if it is found that a compromise has been entered, the bail bonds shall be accepted and in the event if the informant disputes the compromise, then the present order granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner shall not be given effect to.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

