

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.61912 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-212 Year-2023 Thana- SUPAUL District- Supaul

=====

SANJEET KUMAR @ NANKA S/O JAI KISHAN YADAV @ JAI
KRISHAN YADAV R/O VILLAGE- CHAUGHARA, PS. SUPAUL, DIST.
SUPAUL

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Mukul Kumari

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anil Kumar Singh No. 1

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER**

2 04-10-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case
instituted for the offence under Section 394 of the I.P.C. but
later on added Sections 395 and 412 of the I.P.C.

3. The allegation against the petitioner along with
others is of assaulting the informant due to which he sustained
injury. It is further alleged that the accused persons has taken
Rs. 6,500/- and a mobile phone of the infomant.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner



that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He has committed no offence. Petitioner has not apprehended on spot. The petitioner has been arrested in course of investigation and recovered two mobile phones from his pocket, which is not concern with the looted articles. The informant has himself disclosed in the F.I.R. that his mobile phone which has been looted from the place of occurrence bearing No. 9798273842 and IMEI No. 590804541450. Petitioner has been implicated in this case only on the basis of suspicion and concocted with ulterior motive. No incriminating/looted article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Petitioner has got no criminal antecedent as stated in para-3 of the bail petition. He is languishing in judicial custody since 26.03.2023.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case as well as period of custody, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the



satisfaction of the learned Court below in connection with
Supaul P.S. Case No. 212 of 2023.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

Arish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

