

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.57292 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-188 Year-2022 Thana- PAROO District- Muzaffarpur

Ranjeet Raj Yadav @ Ranjeet Raj Son Of Punyadev Yadav R/V- Chainpur
Chiutahan, Saraiya P.O- Saraiya Bazar, P.S- Paroo, Dist- Muzaffarpur- 843112

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shrishti Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shailendra Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

3 13-03-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with
Paroo instituted for the offence under Section 302 read with 34
of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, Section 27 of the Arms Act.

As per allegation in the FIR, informant alleged that
on 24.04.2022 some outsiders came in a blue car at the
doorstep of one Mahendra Das and started fighting. During the
course of which petitioner started firing and at that point of
time, the informant's nephew namely Krishna Kumar who was
returning home from his tuition classes, got injured by gunshot
injury. After that, the injured nephew was taken to hospital but
he was refereed to PMCH, where he was declared dead.



Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and committed no offence. It is further submitted vide para 7 of the petition that the entire dispute was between Mahendra Das and other persons during which the informant came at the place of occurrence and demanded an extortion of Rs. 1 lac from Mahendra Das, there is no motive or intention for the petitioner to get embroiled in the dispute rather he has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 04.07.2022.

Learned APP appearing for the state has opposed the prayer of regular bail and submitted that there is direct allegation against the petitioner of shot fire upon the nephew of the informant due to which he shot dead. From perusal of case diary as well as post-mortem report, the cause of death of the deceased is haemorrhage and shock due to single firearm injury, which also corroborates the prosecution version. It is further submitted that the petitioner has got two criminal antecedents.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that there is direct allegation against the petitioner, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected.



The trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and
conclude the same as soon as possible.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

Manish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

