

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16236 of 2014

=====

Smt. Shanti Thakur wife of Sri Rajeshwar Thakur Resident of village -
Fulhara, Post Office - Kalyanpur Chowk, Police Station - Kalyanpur, District
-Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Education Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Primary Education, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The District Education officer, D.E.O. , Saharsa, District - Saharsa
4. The District Programme Officer Establishment , Saharsa, District - Saharsa
5. The District Treasury officer, Samastipur
6. The Accountant General, A and E , Bihar, Patna

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Jay Prakash Sharma, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Raghwanand, GA-11
		Mr.Prabhat Kumar, AC to GA-11
For the AG	:	Mr.Arun Kumar Arun, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 20-07-2023 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the
following reliefs:-

*“1.(i) For issuance of appropriate writ (s)
/order(s)/ direction (s) commanding the respondent
to revise the pay scale of the petitioner in
pursuance of recommendation of the 6th Pay
Revision Committee and pay the arrears of
difference of salary with effects from 01.04.2007 to
31.07.2008 i.e. the date of petitioner's
superannuation.*

*(ii) For issuance of appropriate writ(s), directing
the respondents to revise and fix the pension of the*



petitioners in light of the revised pay scale in pursuance of 6th pay revision committee and pay the arrears of difference of pension to the petitioner from the date of her superannuation to the present day.

(iii) for issuance of an appropriate writ, directing the respondents to revised pay scale of the petitioner in pursuance of 6th Pay Revision Committee and pay the difference of leave encashment, difference of gratuity and difference of commutation of pension to the petitioner.

(iv) For issuance of an appropriate writ directing the respondent to pay the statutory as well as penal interest over the dues for the delayed payment.

(v) For issuance of and appropriate writ directing the respondents to pay the compensation and cost to the petitioner through the litigation.”

At the outset, the learned counsel for the respondent-State has submitted that the grievances of the petitioner has already stood redressed, during the interregnum period and she is being paid revised pension.

The learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that he is not aware about the latest position, inasmuch as he is not in contact with the petitioner, hence the present writ petition be disposed off with liberty to the petitioner to agitate her subsisting grievances, if any in accordance with law.



Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed
off as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Saurav/-

U			
---	--	--	--

