

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13153 of 2014

=====

Poonam Kumari Daughter of Dwarika Nath Dwivedi at present working as Incharge Headmaster in Lokmanya Sanskrit Uchcha Vidyalaya Sutihaar, Shahpur, District- Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Bihar Sanskrit Siksha Board, East Boring Canal Road, Patna through its Secretary.
2. The Chairman, Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, East Boring Canal Road, Patna.
3. The Secretary, Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, East Boring Canal Road, Patna.
4. The State of Bihar through Special Director, Secondary Education (Sanskrit) Department of Education, Bihar, Patna.
5. Special Director, Secondary Education (Sanskrit) department of Education, Bihar, Patna.
6. The District Education Officer, Saran at Chapra.
7. The District Programme Officer, Establishment, Saran at Chapra.
8. The Managing Committee of Lokmnaya Sanskrit Uchch Vidyalaya Sutihaar, Shahpur, District- Saran.
9. Dr. Ramesh Nath Mishra Son of Sri Deo Janma Mishra resident of Village Sadhpur, P.S. Garakh, District- Saran.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Durga Nand Jha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Smt. Binita Singh, GP-31
		Mr. Satyam Shivam Sundaram, Advocate
		Md. Faiz Ahmad, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

7 01-12-2023 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondents.

2. The petitioner by filing the instant writ application has challenged the order dated 16.6.2014 contained in Memo no.36 passed by the Special Director, Secondary Education whereby the order dated 26.12.2013 authorizing the petitioner to



discharge the duty of In-charge Headmaster was set aside.

3. Bereft of unnecessary details, it may be stated that a supplementary affidavit cum reply to counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of the petitioner wherein it has been stated that Brajendra Kumar Pathak was removed and one Chandra Shekhar Choubey was discharging the duty of In-charge Headmaster who after retirement handed over charge of the school to the present petitioner on 31.3.2020. Further referring to Memo no.1459 dated 30.9.2020 (Annexure 20) it is submitted that the petitioner also participated in the meeting of the Managing Committee as an *ex-officio* member in the capacity of the In-charge Headmaster. Lengthy submissions were made on behalf of the petitioner as also on behalf of the respondent-Board, which in view of this Court are irrelevant.

4. The petitioner as per her own affidavit is working in the capacity of a Incharge Headmaster with effect from 31.3.2020. Thus, nothing remains in the instant writ application and the writ application is disposed of as having become infructuous.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Shiv/-

U			
---	--	--	--

