

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.62595 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-77 Year-2020 Thana- DAWATH District- Rohtas

1. MIRA DEVI W/o Jangali Ram Resident of village - Gangati, P.S.- Dawath, District - Rohtas.
2. Jangali Ram S/o Ramshray Ray Resident of village - Gangati, P.S.- Dawath, District - Rohtas.
3. Lakhtu Ram @ Lathu Ram S/o Jangali Ram Resident of village - Gangati, P.S.- Dawath, District - Rohtas.
4. Nanu Kumar @ Nanu RAM S/o Jangali Ram Resident of village - Gangati, P.S.- Dawath, District - Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhaneshwar Prasad Gupta

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Sen Prasad Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 12-01-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned APP for the State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 120(B), 147, 149, 341, 323, 307, 504, 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

The allegation against the petitioner is that they alongwith other co-accused persons assaulted the informant's side by means of several weapons due to which they sustained injuries. It is alleged that petitioner no.1 snatched *jiutiya* from the neck of Lalita Devi.



It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. They have been falsely implicated in this case. No such occurrence, in the manner as alleged, has ever taken place. The allegation levelled against the petitioners is not specific rather general and omnibus in nature. There is no specific overt act against the petitioners. There is a case and counter-case between the parties and both sides have sustained injuries. There is also an admitted land dispute between both the parties and both sides are agnates. He submits that as per the injury report, the injuries are simple in nature. Petitioner nos.1, 3 and 4 has no criminal antecedent and petitioner no.2 has two criminal antecedent, as also mentioned in para-3 of the bail application.

Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, as the injuries are simple in nature, let the above named petitioners, be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) **each** with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below



where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with
Dawath P.S. Case No.77 of 2020, subject to the conditions as
laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

shikha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

