

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1944 of 2022

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3861 of 2021

=====

Vinod Kumar Singh Sonof Late Haribansh Narayan Singh, Resident of
Village- Khaira, Police Station Parasbigha, District- Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Panchayat Raj Department,
Govt. of Bihar Patna, Vikash Bhawan New Secretariat, Patna.
2. Shree Richie Pandey District Magistrate, Jehanabad, District- Jehanabad.
3. Sri Mayank Barbate, Commissioner cum Chief Executive Officer, Zila
Parishad, Gaya.
4. Rani Devi Chairperson Zila Parishad, Jehanabad, District- Jehanabad.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Birendra Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Kameshwar Prasad Gupta, GP 10 Mr. Satya Vrat, AC to GP 10
For Zila Parishad	:	Mr. Nikesh Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
ORAL ORDER

4 15-12-2023 The present MJC is filed for non-compliance of the

order dated 31.03.2022 passed in CWJC No. 3861 of 2021.

Grievance of the petitioner is that petitioner is entitled to ACP
and MACP benefits or not?

2. The petitioner being a *Zila Parishad* employee, he
is not entitled as is evident from the order dated 24.09.2022. The
reasons being the State Government has taken a policy decision
on 08.11.2018 by the Rural Development and Panchayati Raj
Department to the extent that whichever *Zila Parishad* have



certain financial difficulties, they may not adopt scheme/Rules of ACP and MACP.

3. Taking note of these factual aspect, the present MJC/contempt petition stands dropped reserving liberty to the petitioner to assail the State Government policy decision dated 08.11.2018 read with 24.09.2022 on the discrimination, if any, in filing fresh fresh petition, if so advised.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

GAURAV S./-

U			
---	--	--	--

