

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3237 of 2019**

**In**  
**Letters Patent Appeal No.1396 of 2018**

=====

Jagnath Prasad S/o Shri Radha Mohan Lal Resident of Shivaji Path, Gola Road, West Bailey Road, P.O.- Danapur Cantt, P.S.- Rupaspur, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Bank of India through its Chief General Manager, Local Head Office, Western Gandhi Maidan, Post Box No.103 , Patna- 800 001.
2. Shri Mahesh Deep Chand Goyal, the Chief General Manager, State Bank of India, Patna Local Head Office, Western Gandhi Maidan, Post Box No.103 , Patna- 800 001.
3. Shri Anil Grover, Deputy General Manager, State Bank of India, Zonal Office, Patna.
4. Shri Dharendra Prasad Singh the Asst. General Manager, State Bank of India, Human Resource Dept. Local Head Office, Western Gandhi Maidan, Patna- 800 001.
5. Shri Hamid Ekbal, the Branch Manager, State Bank of India Mithapur Branch, Patna- 800 001.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                                       |
|--------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr. Rajendra Prasad, Advocate         |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr. Kaushlendra Kumar Sinha, Advocate |
| For the SBI              | : | Mr. Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate      |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI**  
**and**  
**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

**(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)**

4      11-09-2023                      The present M.J.C. is filed for non-compliance of the  
  
order dated 01.03.2019 passed in L.P.A. No. 1396 of 2018  
  
arising out of C.W.J.C. No. 15361 of 2016.



2. Perusal of the show cause, in particularly Para Nos. 5 & 6, it is evident that directions of this Court at Para-28 of the order dated 01.03.2019 passed in L.P.A. No. 1396 of 2018 has been complied.

3. Accordingly, the present M.J.C. - contempt petition stands dropped, reserving liberty to the petitioner to question the validity of calculation. If he is still aggrieved, he is at liberty to submit a detailed representation to the concerned authority for consideration. If such representation is submitted, the concerned authority shall examine the same and proceed to pass orders within a reasonable period of time.

**(P. B. Bajanthri, J)**

**(Arun Kumar Jha, J)**

shoaib/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

