

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.56279 of 2022**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-101 Year-2022 Thana- DHANSOI District- Buxar

=====

VIKASH KUMAR S/O RAVINDRA SINGH Resident of village- Dhenutha,  
P.S.- Kochas, District- Rohtas at Sasaram

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dr. Kamal Deo Sharma

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Awadhesh Kumar Singh

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      16-02-2023                      Heard Ld. counsel for the petitioner and Ld. APP  
for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with  
Dhansoi P.S. Case No. 101 of 2022, registered for the  
offences punishable under Section 392 of the Indian Penal  
Code and later on Sections 395 and 412 of the Indian Penal  
Code was also subsequently added.

The prosecution case as emerging from the FIR is  
that the informant is worker of one Fusion Micro Finance Ltd



and on 12.07.2022 at about 08:00 A.M. he collected Rs. 1,21,180/- (Rupees One Lakh Twenty One Thousand One Hundred and Eighty Only) from different village centre and when he was returning, petitioner and his associates looted away the bag containing the aforesaid amount and informant's mobile at pistol point.

Ld. counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. He also submits that no TIP has been conducted by the Police till date and the name of the petitioner transpired only in the confessional statement of other co-accused persons. He further submits that other co-accused persons, namely, Ravi Ranjan Kumar, Santosh Kumar and Bipin Kumar have already been enlarged on bail by different Benches of this Court vide orders dated 09.02.2023 and 30.11.2022 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 58208 of 2022 and Cr. Misc. No. 58034 of 2022, respectively.

He further submits that the petitioner has been



languishing in jail since 14.07.2022.

It has also been stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents.

It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one.

However, Ld. APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the petitioner for bail.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, **this application is allowed**, directing the petitioner, above-named, to be enlarged on bail on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Ld. C.J.M., Buxar in connection with Dhansoi P.S. Case No. 101 of 2022, on the following conditions:

(i) The petitioner will make himself available for interrogation by a police officer/court as and when required.

(ii) The petitioner will undertake that investigation/trial will not hamper on account of his absence or non-cooperation. He must be available to the police or the



court whenever his presence is required.

(iii) The petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person

acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.

(iv) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has any criminal antecedents, Ld. court below shall cancel the bail bond of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents despite his knowledge of the same.

(v) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, Ld. court below shall cancel the bail bond of the petitioner.

Ld. counsel for the petitioner is directed to remove all the defects, if any, pointed out by the office within a period of one month and the Registry is directed to issue the



certified copy of this order only after removal of office  
objections.

**(Jitendra Kumar, J)**

ashishkr/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

