

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.68109 of 2022**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-299 Year-2016 Thana- MUZAFFARPUR SADAR District-
Muzaffarpur

=====

RAVI @ RAVI SHEKHAR @ RAVI SHEKHAR @ RAVI SHEKHAR
KUMAR SON OF SRI OM PRAKASH CHOUDHARY R/O VILLAGE-
SIKANDARPUR KUNDAL, P.S.- TOWN, DISTT.- MUZAFFARPUR

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vaishnavi Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ganesh Prasad Singh

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

4 03-05-2023 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well

as the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in connection
with Sadar P.S. Case No. 299 of 2016, registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 302, 201, 120(B)/34 of
the Indian Penal Code.

The brother of the informant, namely, Sumit
Kumar, aged 17 years, went to a coaching centre, but did not
return. On next day, his dead body was found near railway
track.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted
that the petitioner is innocent. He is a teacher in English



Coaching Centre. During investigation, it was detected that the deceased Sumit Kumar was talking with a girl on mobile and he had love and affection with her. Co-accused Prashant Kumar, who has been declared juvenile and was acquitted, has stated that in his confessional statement one Ravi @ Ravi Shekhar of Bibiganj was involved in that occurrence. He has stated further in his confessional statement that Ravi @ Ravi Shekhar was his friend. Prashant Kumar did not mention the parents name of Ravi @ Ravi Shekhar. He has also submitted that the name of the petitioner is also Ravi @ Ravi Shekhar but he is not the person as named by co-accused Prashant Kumar. Prashant Kumar has stated that Ravi @ Ravi Shekhar was his friend but the petitioner Ravi @ Ravi Shekhar is 30 years of age. He has next submitted that the girl, with whom the deceased is alleged to have relation has also stated that the present Ravi @ Ravi Shekhar was not involved in this case.

On the other hand, the learned APP has submitted that the investigation is still going on and the investigating authorities are searching evidence in respect of involvement of the petitioner in the present case.

In my view, the petitioner does not deserve the privileges of bail, which is hereby rejected.



After completion of the investigation, if it is detected that petitioner was not involved in the present case, the learned court below may consider his prayer for bail.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

Nirmal/Kundan

U		T	
---	--	---	--

