

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.57050 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-32 Year-2023 Thana- KHIRHAR District- Madhubani

SAKAL KHATBEY @ RAM SAKAL KUMAR @ RAM SAKAL
KHATBEY Son of Dinesh Khatbey @ Dinesh Mandal Resident of Village
Sonai, P.S. Khirhar District Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ravi Prakash, Advocate Mr. Gagan Deo Yadav, Advocate Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Nitya Nand Tiwary, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

4 28-11-2023 Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to

remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within

a period of three weeks from today.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

3. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in

connection with Khirhar P.S. Case No. 32 of 2023 dated

09.05.2023 for the offence/s punishable u/ss 272, 273, 414 read

with section 34 of the IPC and section 30(a) of the Bihar

Prohibition and Excise Act.

4. As per the prosecution case, total 27 litres of illicit

liquor was recovered from the courtyard of the petitioner's



house.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. As per supplementary affidavit filed on behalf of the petitioner, learned counsel has submitted that the said recovery was made from the open courtyard of the petitioner's house that is accessible to anyone. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. Learned counsel has submitted that no case is made out against the petitioner. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by



submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the material available on record, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Madhubani in connection with Khirhar P.S. Case No. 32 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

guddukr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

