

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58044 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1875 Year-2018 Thana- VAISALI COMPLAINT CASE
District- Vaishali

=====

NARESH KUMAR S/O- LAXMI SINGH R/O- VILLAGE- MURAULYA
P.S.- DESARI, DIST.- VAISHALI

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. THE STATE OF BIHAR
2. SARITA KUMAR D/O- SURENDRA MAHTO R/O- VILLAGE-
INAYATPUR, P.S.- PATORI DIST.- SAMASTIPUR.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Awadhesh Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rabindra Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

- | | | |
|---|------------|--|
| 3 | 20-12-2023 | <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner, learned Counsel for the informant-Opposite Party No. 2 and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 1875 of 2018, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 498-A/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.3. The allegation, as per the First Information Report, is that the marriage of the Opposite Party No. 2 was solemnized with the petitioner on 19.06.2018 and after sometime, the petitioner and other family members started demanding motorcycle by way of dowry and due to non-fulfillment of the said demand, the petitioner and other family |
|---|------------|--|



members tortured the Opposite Party No. 2 physically as well as mentally and also ousted the Opposite Party No. 2 from her matrimonial home.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not committed any offence in the manner alleged and he has falsely been implicated in this case. However, without being prejudice to the right and contention of the petitioner, and in order to maintain harmony in the family, the petitioner is ready and willing to pay a sum of Rs. 3,000/- per month to the Opposite Party No. 2 as living cost, subject to the final outcome of the present case as well as the matrimonial case, if any, pending or decided between the parties.
5. Learned Counsel for the informant-Opposite Party No. 2 accepts the offer so made by learned Counsel for the petitioner and submits that the petitioner may be directed to deposit the said amount of Rs. 3,000/- per month in the bank account of the informant-Opposite Party No. 2, details of which shall be furnished by learned Counsel for the Opposite Party No. 2 to learned Counsel for the petitioner within fifteen days from today.
6. After having heard learned Counsel for the parties and



taking into consideration the materials on record and the fact that the offer made by learned Counsel for the petitioner has been accepted by learned Counsel for the Opposite Party No. 2, I am inclined to grant the petitioner privilege of anticipatory bail.

7. This application is, accordingly, allowed.
8. Let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest/surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on bail, upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Vaishali at Hajipur, in connection with Complaint Case No. 1875 of 2018.
9. This is subject to the condition that the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs. 3,000/- per month in the bank account of Opposite Party No. 2, from January, 2024.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

ashwani/-

U			
---	--	--	--

