

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.57767 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-240 Year-2022 Thana- AMNAUR District- Saran

Pushpa Devi Wife of Jai Prakash Ram @ Jhabar Ram R/V- Parmanand Chapra, P.S- Amnour, Dist- Saran at Chapra (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Pushpa Sinha, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 04-01-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

Petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act (2018).

Recovery is of 172 litres of country made liquor.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent and she has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that the recovery has been made from the joint house property of the petitioner and not from petitioner's possession. He further submits that the petitioner has no concern at all with the alleged recovery of the illicit liquor. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 14.08.2022.



Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner and the petitioner has clean antecedent, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Amnour P.S. Case No. 240 of 2022, with the following conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed her criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for



cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

