

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.55592 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-26 Year-2023 Thana- MAHILA PS District- Aurangabad

1. Vijay Singh @ Vijay Kr. Singh S/O Late Ram Lakhan Singh R/O Village Sikariya P S Kutumba District Aurangabad
2. Sangita Singh Wife Of Vijay Singh @ Vijay Kr. Singh R/O Village Sikariya P S Kutumba District Aurangabad
3. Komal Singh Daughter Of Vijay Singh @ Vijay Kr. Singh R/O Village Sikariya P S Kutumba District Aurangabad
4. Anjali Singh Daughter Of Vijay Singh @ Vijay Kr. Singh, W/O Ankit Sao R/O Village Sikariya P S Kutumba District Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                                                 |
|----------------------|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Rakesh Singh, Adv.                                          |
| For the State        | : | Mr. Pradeep Narain Kumar, APP                                   |
| For the Informant    | : | Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Sr. Adv.<br>Mr. Santosh Kuamr Pandey, Adv. |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      09-10-2023                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned  
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 498(A), 341, 323, 504, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section  $\frac{3}{4}$  of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, it is alleged that the petitioners along with other accused persons are said to have tortured and assaulted the informant due to non-fulfillment of dowry demand.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this



case. The allegation levelled against the petitioners is not specific rather general and omnibus in nature. He submits that the petitioners are in-laws and family members of the informant. He submits that petitioners have neither made any dowry demand nor drove her out of her matrimonial home nor tormented her over the demand of dowry. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para-3 of this application.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioners, be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned lower Court where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No.26 of 2023, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

**(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)**

anand/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

