

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12100 of 2023

=====

Abhijit Sharan Sinha S/o Umesh Sharan Sinha, Resident of BSNL, Telephone Exchange, Uma Nagar, Sandha, Chapra, P.S.- Mufassil, District- Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Labour Resources, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Special Secretary, Department of Labour Resources, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Saran.
4. The Mission Director, Bihar Skill Development Mission, Dist- Saran.
5. The District Skill Manager - I, Dist- Saran.
6. The District Skill Manager- II, Saran.
7. The District Skill Manager-III, Saran.
8. The Chief Executive Officer, Bihar Skill Development Mission, Saran.
9. The District Employment Officer, Saran at Chhapra.
10. The Manager, District Registration cum Advisory Centre, District- Saran at Chhapra.
11. The Planning Officer, Sub-Territorial Employment Exchange, Saran at Chhapra.
12. Gulshan Kumar Son of Lal Prasad, Resident of Kathari Bag, Thana Chhapra Nagar, District- Saran.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anuraj Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Anil Kumar Singh (Sc 26)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 29-08-2023 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:-

“1(i). Issuance of appropriate orders, direction, or writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the letter no. 1071 dated 21.7.2023 whereby and whereunder the respondents have cancelled the



registration of the computer centre of petitioner namely new core centre computer (hereinafter referred to as NCC).

(ii). Issuance of appropriate orders, direction, or writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents to conduct fresh and proper investigation in relation to allegation that has been alleged against the petitioner.

(iii). Issuance of appropriate orders, direction, or writ to let the petitioner continue the classes of students who have already been enrolled before the suspension order.”

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

