

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.57066 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-2906 Year-2022 Thana- PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Patna

=====

RANJAN KUMAR @ RANJAN PANDEY son of Gyanendra Nath Pandey
RESIDENT OF HARIHAR SINGH ROAD, MANDA BAGICHA, P.S.
MORABADI, DISTRICT- RANCHI, P/A- FLAT NO-8, PLOT NO-1092/1,
TOP FLOOR WARD NO-1, NEAR MEHRAULI BUS STAND, PS-
MEHRAULI DIST- SOUTH DELHI

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. Shri Chandra Bhushan Rai son of Baijnath Rai Village- Bhaluanna Ps-
Charpokhri Dist- Bhojpur P/A- M/s Avighna Enterprises, 406, CS Enclave
Ganga Vihar Colony Ps- Beur Dist- Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mrs. Parul Prasad
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Pawan Kumar Chaurasia
	Mr. Uday Shankar Choudhary

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

4 21-12-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the complainant as well as learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered
for the offence punishable under Sections 406, 34 of the Indian
Penal Code.

3. The prosecution case in short is that, the petitioner's
company took loan from the complainant's firm and assured to
pay the complainant on time. On the assurance of co-accused
Ajay Singh and Kailash Chandra Lohia, the complainant
materials worth of Rs.45,16,500/- and as the materials was



supplied, the complainant kept asking for the money. It is alleged that Rs.40 Lakhs has been transferred to the complainant's firm but Rs.5,16,000/- is still pending.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and have committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case on account of money dispute. No such occurrence, in the manner as alleged, has ever taken place. She submits that the petitioner is not the owner of the company in question rather he is working there as a Project Manager. There is no specific overt act against the petitioner. Petitioner has one criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State as well as learned counsel for the complainant opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the complainant that the payment of Rs.5,16,000/- is still pending from the petitioner's end.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case as well as considering that this a case of money dispute/business transaction between the parties, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail, in the event of his/her arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.



25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Complaint Case No.2906(C) of 2022, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

shikha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

