

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53764 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-643 Year-2022 Thana- PATLIPUTRA District- Patna

Randhir Kumar S/O- Late Rama Rajak Village- Mahmadpur P S- Punpun
District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajan Ghoshrave, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs.Pronoti Singh,APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 29-08-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
10.11.2022 in connection with Patliputra P.S. Case No.643 of
2022, F.I.R. dated 06.10.2022 registered for the offence
punishable under Section 379 of IPC.

3. The FIR of the occurrence of loot is against
unknown.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the
present case. Petitioner is not named in the FIR. Petitioner has
been remanded in the present case from Gandhi Maidan
P.S.Case No.699 of 2022 on 10.11.2022 and nothing has been
recovered from conscious possession or the house of the



petitioner and till date no TIP has been conducted by the prosecution and the police, after investigation, submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody in the present case since 10.11.2022.

5. Learned APP for the State, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries three more cases other than the present one.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-X, Patna in connection with Patliputra P.S. Case No.643 of 2022, with the following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.



(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

