

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11357 of 2023

=====

Premchandra Mehta, Son of Late Mahabir Mehta, Resident of Village-
Jainagar, Ward No. -8, P.S.- Bhargama, District- Araria.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director, Primary Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Bihar Public Service Commission, through its Chairman, 15, Jawaharlal Nehru Marg, Bailey Road, Patna.
6. The Chairman, Bihar Public Service Commission, Bihar, Patna.
7. The Secretary, Bihar Public Service Commission, Bihar, Patna.
8. The Joint Secretary-cum-Examination Controller, Bihar Public Service Commission, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Jagjit Roshan, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Madhaw Prasad Yadaw, GP- 23
		Mr. Arvind Kumar, AC to GP-23
For the BPSC	:	Mr. Sanjay Pandey, Advocate
		Mr. Nishant Kumar Jha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 21-08-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned

counsel for the State and learned counsel for the Bihar Public

Service Commission (hereinafter referred to as the 'BPSC').

2. The petitioner in the present case is seeking a writ

in the nature of Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to

grant age relaxation to the petitioner who has passed his

Secondary Teachers Eligibility Test (STET) in the year 2019.

The common ground is that the BPSC has granted age



relaxation to the candidates who have passed Secondary Teachers Eligibility Test (in short 'STET') in the year 2019 and there is no reason why such relaxation be not granted to the petitioner.

3. Attention of this Court has been drawn towards the order dated 19.07.2023 passed by this Court in CWJC No. 9640 of 2023 wherein this Court had directed the BPSC to allow the petitioners of the said writ application to participate in the selection process subject to result of the writ application.

4. Learned counsel for the BPSC submits that so far as this petitioner is concerned, he has approached this Court much after the last date for filling up the application form. The advertisement in question was issued on 30th May, 2023 and the last date for filling up the application form expired on 22nd July, 2023.

5. It is further informed that now the examination is fixed on 24th August, 2023, therefore, under these circumstances it would be very difficult for the BPSC to once again entertain the application of the petitioner.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the BPSC as also learned counsel for the State and upon finding that this writ application has been filed



on 04.08.2023 i.e. much after the last date of filling up of the application form and at this stage, the examination is fixed from 24th August, 2023 itself, this Court is not inclined to entertain the present writ application.

7. This writ application stands dismissed accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

lekhi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

