

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18450 of 2021

=====

Chandan Kumar, Son of Mukund Prasad Singh, Resident of Mohalla -
Moldiar Tola, Ward No. 13, Mokama, Police Station- Mokama, District -
Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue, Government of Bihar,
Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Collector, Patna.
5. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Sub-Division Barh, District - Patna.
6. The Circle Officer, Block Ghoshwari, District - Patna.
7. The Circle Officer, Block - Mokama, District - Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prem Ranjan Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sajid Salim Khan, SC-25

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD)

Date : 18-04-2023

The writ application has been filed as public interest litigation.

The petitioner seeks directions commanding the respondents to
organise camps at Mokama East, Mokama Central and Mokama
West, areas falling under Mokama Nagar Parishad, for the purpose of
collection of land revenue.

It is stated that the concerned circle office is 7-8 kilometres
away. The writ petitioner also seeks sweeping and general directions
for addressing the grievance of the citizens of the Mokama Nagar



Parishad areas regarding correction, modification and additions in the land records, also to stop prevailing corruption by middleman and for extending benefits of government schemes to the farmers of the area.

The scope of the instant writ proceedings lacks any specific issue which can be said to be an issue espoused with public interest for marginalized/deprived/inarticulate sections of the society.

Alleged inconvenient distance of the circle office and vague and general allegations devoid of substance, cannot be made the foundation of invoking writ petition in the nature of public interest litigation, as is sought to be done by the writ petitioner in the instant case.

For the reasons indicated above, this Court is not inclined to entertain the writ petition as a public interest litigation.

The writ petition is dismissed.

(K. Vinod Chandran, CJ)

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

SUMIT/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	20.04.2023
Transmission Date	NA

