

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13690 of 2022**

Niraj Kumar Son of Kamla Prasad Sharma, resident of Village Chaubra, P.O. Gordiha, P.S. Rafiganj, District - Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary Rural Development, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Commissioner Magadh Division, Gaya.
4. The District Magistrate, Aurangabad.
5. The District Panchayat Raj Officer, Aurangabad.
6. The Executive Officer Cum Parkhand Panchayati Raj Rafiganj.
7. The B.D.O Rafiganj, District - Aurangabad.
8. The Mukhiya Gram Panchayat Raj Chaubra P.S. Rafiganj, District - Aurangabad.
9. The Panchayat Secretary Chaubra, Rafiganj, Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                                          |
|----------------------|---|----------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Banwari Sharma, Advocate<br>Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr. Kumar Alok (SC-7)<br>Mr. Prem Ranjan Raj, AC to SC-7 |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      19-04-2023                      The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:-

*“1. That the present application is for issuance of writ in the nature of writ of mandamus directing the concerned respondents to pay the admissible dues to the petitioner for the work done by him in the Chief Minister Saat Nischaya Yojana and work was done by the petitioner after*



*taking money from the other persons so that work may be completed but in spite of all the representation filed and demand made by the concerned authority something has been paid to the petitioner but large amount is still not paid to the petitioner amounting to about Twenty Two Lakhs Twelve thousand and Fifty Seven thousand due to the petitioner but in spite of all the representation filed by the petitioner the admissible amount not paid and same be paid 12% interest for which direction may be given.”*

At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to approach the District Magistrate, Aurangabad for redressal of his aforesaid grievances.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, I deem it fit and proper to grant liberty to the petitioner to approach the District Magistrate, Aurangabad by filing appropriate representation and in case such a representation is filed within a period of two weeks from today, the District Magistrate, Aurangabad shall conduct an enquiry and adjudicate upon the



dispute in question by passing a reasoned and a speaking order in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks, thereafter.

The writ petition stands disposed off on the aforesaid terms.

**(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)**

S.Sb/Sonal-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

