

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53495 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-72 Year-2021 Thana- GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL COMP.
District- Gaya

=====

Dinesh Rajput S/O Bhanu Prakash Rajput @ Bhanu Prakash R/O Village-
Padam Nagar Khirkala, Gali No. 2, Bhopan Goan, Faridabad, Dist. Faridabad,
Haryana

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Aryan Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Vinod Shanker Modi

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

4 06-11-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with N.D.P.S. Case No. 72 of 2021 instituted for the offence
under Sections 8, 20(b), 11(c) of the N.D.P.S. Act.

3. According to the prosecution case, there is recovery
of Ganja like contraband substance i.e. 330 kg from a truck
bearing Registration no. HR74-9193. The petitioner is said to be
driver of the seized vehicle who was apprehended on spot.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and committed no offence. He has falsely
been implicated in this case. The petitioner has no concern with
the alleged recovery of *Ganja* like contraband substance. It is



further submitted that the provision of N.D.P.S. Act has not been followed properly. Moreover, the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 22.9.2021.

5. Learned APP appearing for the state has opposed the prayer of regular bail and submitted that the petitioner was apprehended on spot with a truck from where, *Ganja* like narcotic substance was recovered which is said to be 330 kg and the same is much more than commercial quantity as per the N.D.P.S. Act. It is also submitted that witnesses of this case have also supported the prosecution.

6. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the quantity of seized *Ganja* which is much more than commercial quantity, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected.

7. The trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same as soon as possible.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

Amandeep/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

