

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11362 of 2023

Karahasi Primary Agricultural Credit Society through its Manager, Shree Ramashankar Singh, Male, aged about 45 Years, Son of Bikrama Singh, Resident of Village- Karahasi, Ward no.14, Police Station- Natwar, District- Rohtas (Sasaram)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Food and Consumer Protection, Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Food and Consumer Protection, Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Secretary, Co-Operative Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Managing Director, Bihar State Food Corporation, Patna.
5. The District Magistrate, Rohtas (Sasaram)
6. District Manager, Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Limited, District- Rohtas (Sasaram).
7. District Co-operative Officer, District- Rohtas (Sasaram)
8. The Block-Co-operative Expansion Officer, Dinara, District- Rohtas.
9. Maa Chhinnamasta, Food Production Private Limited through its Proprietor- Raju Kumar Singh, Male, aged About. Years, Son of Binay Kumar Singh, Resident of Saraidhila, P.S.- Amghata Saraidhila, District- Dhanbad, State- Jharkhand.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Saroj Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Upendra Pratap Singh, AC to SC- 4
For the BSFC	:	Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 24-08-2023

Heard Mr. Saroj Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd. Mr. Upendra Pratap Singh, learned AC to SC- 4, appears on behalf of State.



2. In the instant writ application, the petitioner has prayed for the following reliefs:

“(i) For issuance of a writ of mandamus and or any other appropriate writ/direction/Order commanding the respondents particularly Respondents number 2, 4, 5 and 8 to ensure the supply of 1160 quintals (4 lots) of rice to the petitioner who has given 16505.82 quintals (39 lots) of paddy to the respondent number 9 as per agreement and in compliance of work plan, instruction and guideline issued by the respondent number 1 and 2.

(ii) For issuance of a writ of mandamus and /or any other appropriate writ /direction/ order commanding the respondent number. 1,2,4, 5 and 8 to adequately compensate the petitioner for the financial loss suffered by it due to non-supply of rice as aforesaid by the respondent no.9.

(iii) For the issuance of any of the Order/direction to ensure all consequential relief to the petitioner in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per instruction of his client, the grievance (relief no.1) of the petitioner has been redressed. So far other reliefs prayed for in the writ application are concerned, the petitioner



seeks permission to raise the grievance before the appropriate forum/authority.

4. Considering the submissions made on behalf of the parties, the present writ application stands disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

(Harish Kumar, J)

uday/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	28.08.2023
Transmission Date	NA

