

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL REVIEW No.168 of 2017

In
CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION No.29 of 2017

=====

Lala Shashi Bhushan Prasad Singh @ Mantun S/o Late Kaushal Kishore Prasad Singh, Resident of village Post office P.S. Nawakothi, District - Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Shyamnath Singh S/o Late Baidyanath Prasad Singh,
2. Avinash Kumar,
3. Ashwani Kumar, Both 2 and 3 are sons of Shyamnath Singh, Resident of village - Nawakothi Post office P.S. Nawakothi, District - Begusarai, At Present residing at Township I.O.C., District - Begusarai.
4. Ramnath Prasad Singh,
5. Dr. Harihar Prasad Singh @ Hariharnath Singh, Both 4 and 5 are Sons Late Baidyanath Prasad Singh,
6. Most. Baidahi Devi, W/o Late Amarnath Singh,
7. Akshay Kumar, S/o Late Amarnath Singh,
8. Garima Kumari, D/o Late Amarnath Singh,
9. Sandeep Kumar,
10. Saket Kumar, Both 9 and 10 are sons of Ramnath Prasad Singh.
11. Vinayak Kumar,
12. Pranay Kumar, Both 11 and 12 are sons of Dr. Harihar Prasad Singh @ Hariharnath Singh.
13. Smt. Sobha Devi, Wife of Ramnath Prasad Singh.
14. Usha Devi, Wife of Shyamnath Prasad Singh.
15. Manju Devi, Wife of Dr. Harihar Prasad Singh @ Hariharnath Singh, Resident of Village Post office Police Station- Nawakothi, District- Begusarai.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ravi Shanker Pankaj, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

4 21-12-2023 1. Though the present petition has been filed for
review of the order dated 19.01.2017, passed in Civil



Miscellaneous Jurisdiction No.29 of 2017, however, after some arguments, the learned counsel for the review petitioner submits that since none of the grounds, as envisaged under Order 47 Rule 1 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 exists so as to warrant review of the said order, nor any cogent or important evidence has been brought on record of the review petition nor there is any mistake apparent on the face of the record of the case, the review petitioner be granted liberty to file appropriate appeal.

2. Accordingly, the present review petition stands disposed off as not pressed, however, with liberty to the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies, as are otherwise available under the law.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Saurav/-

U			
---	--	--	--

