

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53247 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-36 Year-2023 Thana- SHYAMPUR BHATHAN District-
Sheohar

=====

AMIT KUMAR @ HAPPY SINGH S/O KAUSLENDRA SINGH @ UDAY
SHANKAR SINGH @ KUMAR VIMLENDR SINGH R/O VILLAGE-
SAHWAJPUR, P.S- SHYAMPUR BHATHAN, DISTT.- SHEOHAR.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Neeraj Kumar Alias Sanidh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mrs.Madhuri Lata, APP
For the Informant	:	None

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

3 12-12-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State. Though vakalatnama is filed on behalf of the
informant but nobody appears on his behalf today.

2. Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with
Shyampur Bhathan P.S. Case No.36 of 2023, registered for the
offence punishable u/s 302, 120(B)/34 of the IPC and 27 of the
Arms Act.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he along with
other accused persons have killed the son of the informant.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that
petitioner is quite innocent and has not committed any offence.
He has been falsely implicated in this case due to ulterior



motive. No such occurrence in the manner as alleged has ever taken place. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is not specific rather general and omnibus in nature. There is no eye-witness to the alleged occurrence and merely on suspicion, petitioner has been made accused in this case. There is an admitted land dispute between the parties. Petitioner has five criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer for bail by submitting that there is specific allegation against the petitioner and also there is ample evidence against the petitioner in the case-diary.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and the nature of allegation, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The prayer for grant of anticipatory bail on his behalf is hereby rejected.

7. This application is accordingly dismissed.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

pallavi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

