

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1215 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-187 Year-2016 Thana- ARA NAGAR District- Bhojpur

SUNANDA DILIP SINGH W/o Sri Dilip Kumar Singh R/o village-
Bhaluhipur, P.S.- Ara Town, District- Bhojpur ... Petitioner
Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Director General of Police (D.G.P.), Bihar
3. The Superintendent of Police, Bhojpur, Arrah
4. The Dy. Superintendent of Police, Bhojpur, Arrah
5. The Police Inspector, Arrah Town Police Station, Bhojpur, Arrah
6. Pitambar Choudhary, the then investigating Officer of FIR No. 187/2016, Arrah Town Police Station, District- Bhojpur, Arrah ... Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr.Mukesh Kant, Adv.
For the State : Mr. Seo Shankar Prasad, SC VIII
For the Intervenor : Mrs. Shilpi Keshri, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

5 11-05-2023 This case has been listed under the heading “To Be Mentioned” to make necessary correction in the order, dated 08.05.2023, which is in the form of typing mistake.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that in the last paragraph of page 1 the word “withdraw” be read as “withdraw in the light of Annexure “C” of counter affidavit”.

Similarly, on the second page the word “informant” has been wrongly typed in place of word “intervener”. There is subsistence in the argument of the counsel for the petitioner, as such, the necessary correction is required in the said order and after the correction the last paragraph of page 1 shall be read as :

“Counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty to withdraw the present writ petition in the light of Annexure “C” of counter affidavit.”

After necessary correction by way of changing the



correct word “intervener” in place of “informant” the said paragraph shall be read as follows :

“Counsel for the intervener has strong objection.

Counsel for the intervener submits that this petitioner is continuously filing series of criminal cases against the intervener and his family members. Counsel submits that from the record it shall transpire that intervener and accused are full brothers and due to family dispute (land and other petty issues) the petitioner is continuously filing cases and making the life of the intervener inhuman. Counsel further submits that intervener is old aged person. Counsel further submits that those first information reports have been filed only-and-only with a view to stop the progress of the intervener.

In this background that petitioner is not interested to pursue this case, this Court is granting permission to withdraw the application with caution to the petitioner that he must not involve in such type of activities. The intervener is at liberty to take legal course in accordance with law.”

The order passed today shall be made part and parcel of the order, dated 08.05.2023.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Shamshad/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

