

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.52298 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-400 Year-2022 Thana- MADHUBAN District- East Champaran

Kumari Supriya, D/O Ravi Ranjan Kumar, Resident of Village- Bakhari Koila
Belwa, P.S- Kalyanpur, Distt.- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mrs. Rashmi Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajay Mishra, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 23-08-2023 Heard Mrs. Rashmi Jha, learned counsel appearing on
behalf of the petitioner and the learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending her arrest in connection with Madhuban P.S. Case No. 400 of 2022 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Allegedly the petitioner had submitted forged and fabricated C.T.E.T. / B.T.E.T. certificate for appointment to the post of Panchayat/Prakhand teacher in the year 2019-20 and during the course of verification of her certificates, the same has been found to be forged, which resulted into lodging of the present F.I.R.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a hapless lady and she



has herself become the victim of the circumstances, as the certificate issued by the institution was found fake during the course of verification. She further submits that this is the admitted fact that the petitioner has got no appointment on the basis of the forged certificate and, as such, the only allegation against the petitioner is that she tried to get appointment on the basis of forged certificate. She next submits that the other similar applicants, against whom also the F.I.Rs. have been instituted, they have been allowed the privilege of anticipatory bail by the learned coordinate Benches of this Court, the copies of which have been brought on record by way of Annexure-2 Series to the application. She lastly submits that the petitioner undertakes that she will cooperate in the investigation or in the proceeding of the Court.

5. On the other hand, learned APP for the State opposes the bail application.

6. Regard being had to the submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the fact that co-accused persons, having identical allegation, have been allowed the privilege of anticipatory bail, coupled with her fair antecedent and the fact that the appointment could not take place on the basis of forged certificate, let the petitioner, named above, in the



event of her arrest or surrender before the court below within four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, East Champaran, Motihari in connection with Madhuban P.S. Case No. 400 of 2022, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C. with the further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close relative of the petitioner.

(Harish Kumar, J)

uday/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

