

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2648 of 2018**

- 
- 
1. Vikash Kumar Singh Son of Late Dadan Singh,
  2. Jit Narayan Singh, Son of Late Ramraj Singh, Both are residents of Mohalla-Takiya Ward No.2, Police Station- Sasaram (M), District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the District Magistrate, Rohtas (Sasaram).
2. The Commissioner, Patna Division, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Rohtas Sasaram.
4. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Sasaram Rohtas.
5. The Executive Officer, Nagar Parisad, Sasaram Rohtas.
6. The Circle Officer, Sasaram, District- Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

---

---

with

**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 9067 of 2017**

---

---

1. Bhuneshwar Rai Son of Late Kedar Rai.
2. Dhanantar Rai, S/o Bhuneshwar Rai.
3. Binod Kumar Rai, S/o Bhuneshwar Rai.
4. Mithilesh Kumar Rai, S/o Bhuneshwar Rai.
5. Rajesh Kumar Rai, S/o Bhuneshwar Rai, All resident of Mohalla- Takiya, Sasaram P.O.- Takiya Bazar Samiti, P.S. Sasaram, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the District Magistrate, Rohtas at Sasaram.
2. The Circle Officer, Sasaram, Dist.- Rohtas.
3. The Executive Officer, Sasaram Municipality, Dist.- Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

---

---

with

**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 3646 of 2018**

---

---

1. Masiha Sah Son of Late Doma Sah,
2. Naimuddin Sai, Son of Late Doma Sah,



3. Ibrar Sai, Son of Late Sufi Sai,
4. Sahzad Sai, Son of Late Sufi Sai,
5. Nesar Sai, Son of Late Doma Sah,
6. Manzur Sai @ Mansur Rai, Son of Late Doma Sah, All are residents of Mohalla- Takiya Ward No.2, Police Station- Sasaram M, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the District Magistrate, Rohtas at Sasaram.
2. The Commissioner, Patna Division, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Rohtas Sasaram.
4. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Sasaram Rohtas.
5. The Executive Officer, Nagar Parishad, Sasaram Rohtas.
6. The Circle Officer, Sasaram, District- Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

**Appearance :**

(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 2648 of 2018)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
For the State : Mr.Sajid Salim Khan. SC-25  
Mr.Arif Daula Siddiqui, AC to SC-25  
For Resp. No.5 : Mr.Bajrangi Lal, Advocate

(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 9067 of 2017)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Amrendra Narayan Rai, Advocate  
For the State : Mr.Rishi Raj Sinha, SC-19  
Mr.Atul Shankar, AC to SC-19  
For Resp. No.3 : Mr.Bajrangi Lal, Advocate

(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 3646 of 2018)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
For the State : Mr.Rishi Raj Sinha- Sc19  
Mr.Atul Shankar, AC to SC-19  
For Resp. No.5 : Mr.Bajrangi Lal, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      28-04-2023                      The learned counsels for the petitioners  
  
in the aforesaid three cases submit that since title  
  
suit is pending before the learned trial Court  
  
pertaining to the subject matter of the present



case, they be granted liberty to pursue their remedies before the learned trial Court. Liberty so sought is granted.

At this juncture, the learned counsels for the petitioners submit that some protection be granted for enabling the petitioners to seek interim orders from the learned trial Court.

In view of the aforesaid, this Court deems it fit and proper to direct the respondent authorities to maintain status quo, existing as on today qua the land/house of the petitioners in question, for a period of four weeks from today, so that the petitioners can approach the appropriate court/forum and obtain interim orders.

The writ petitions stand disposed off on the aforesaid terms.

**(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)**

kanchan/Saurav

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

