

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11488 of 2023

=====

Ram Anuj Sharma S/o Late Girja Nandan Singh, R/o- Girija Bhawan, New Area, Krishnapuri, Godnapur, P.S.- Town, Town- Nawada, Dist- Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary cum Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Land Reforms and Revenue, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary cum Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Land Reforms and Revenue, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Collector, Nawada.
4. The Superintendent of Police, Nawada.
5. The Sub Divisional Officer, Pupri, Nawada.
6. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Nawada.
7. The Sub Divisional Police Officer, Nawada.
8. The Circle Officer, Nawada.
9. The Station House Officer, Town, P.S.- Nawada.
10. Nagar Parishad, Nawada through its Executive Officer.
11. Executive Officer, Nagar Parishad, Nawada.
12. Rajiv Kumar Roy @ Om Singh S/o Gauri Shankar Prasad Singh, Resident of Vill- Apsarh, P.S. Warsaliganj, Dist.- Nawada.
13. Gauri Shankar Prasad Singh S/o Late Munna Singh, Resident of Vill- Apsarh, P.S. Warsaliganj, Dist.- Nawada.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Parasmani, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Md. Khurshid Alam (Aag12)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 17-10-2023 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief(s):-

“1. That this is an application for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing and commanding the respondent authorities to remove the encroachment from the land



appertaining to C.S. Khata no. 569, C.S. plot no. 3742 and C.S. Khata no. 608, C.S. plot no. 3733 which is road belonging to Bihar Government in pursuance of order dated 23.12.2022 passed by the learned S.D.M. Nawada u/s 133 Cr.P.C. in case no 723 of 2013/Case No.-572/2019 and/ or for issuance of an appropriate writ(s), order(s) or direction(s), which seems just and equitable in the facts and circumstances stated hereunder.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, for redressal of the aforesaid grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Saurav/-

U			
---	--	--	--

