

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.50692 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-318 Year-2020 Thana- GORAUL District- Vaishali

DOCTOR RAVI KANT MANI @ RAVIKANT MANI YADAV S/O LATE
RAJENDRA RAI Resident of village- Piroi, P.S.- Goraul District- Vaishali
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vasant Vikas, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Binod Kumar, APP.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

5 20-02-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for
the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to remove the
defect (s), as pointed out by the office, within a period of four weeks.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for
the offences punishable under Sections 447, 448, 504, 323, 324,
354, 307/34 of the Indian Penal Code and further added Section
302 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegedly, petitioner along with other accused persons came to
the house of the informant and abused her and when the informant
protested them, all the accused persons caught the hair of the
informant and poured kerosene oil upon the informant and set her on
fire.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that
petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. No such
occurrence as alleged ever took place. He has been falsely



implicated in this case due to enmity. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is not specific rather general and omnibus in nature. It is further submitted that the petitioner has made accused in this case due to earlier FIR filed bearing Goraul P.S. Case No. 144 of 2020 dated 24.04.2020 which was lodged by one Anila Devi, due to murder of her son, against the *Sasur* namely Visheshwar Rai, *Bhaisur* namely Ravinder Rai of the informant of this present case. He further submits that the deceased herself tried to commit suicide. Petitioner has one criminal antecedent as mentioned in para-3 of this application.

Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer for bail by submitting that all the accused persons are involved in this case.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the nature of the offence, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

The prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

divyanshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

