

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49136 of 2022**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-89 Year-2022 Thana- RIVILGANJ District- Saran

Vikash Singh S/O Anant Singh Resident of Village- Naika Barka Baiju Tola,  
P.S.- Rivilganj, District- Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Tej Narayan Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Renu Kumari, APP.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      14-02-2023                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the  
learned APP for the State.

Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with  
Rivilganj P.S. Case No. 89 of 2022 registered for the offences  
punishable under Sections 341, 324, 307 and 302/34 of the  
Indian Penal Code.

As per the prosecution, on 19.03.2022 during Holi  
festival, the informant's brother along with his friends were  
attacked by some persons including this petitioner, owing to  
which he got injured and during treatment he died.

The main submissions advanced by learned counsel  
Mr. Tej Narayan Singh appearing for the petitioner are that in  
actual, the petitioner was not present at the place of occurrence  
at the alleged time and he had gone to his relatives to play the

festival of Holi and due to local politics he has been dragged in the instant matter and he has been languishing in jail since 03.04.2022.

Learned APP Ms. Renu Kumari appearing for the State has opposed the bail prayer.

Having considered the seriousness of the occurrence which relates to murder and attempt to murder and allegedly this petitioner and co-accused persons attacked at the prosecution party in a planned manner and in that attack the accused persons including the petitioner used knife in assaulting the prosecution party which resulted in death of one person and stab injuries to two persons and in this regard the post-mortem report of the deceased and the injury reports of said injured persons available in the case diary are relevant, in the opinion of this Court the petitioner does not deserve to the privilege of bail. Accordingly, his prayer stands rejected.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

annu/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|