

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.50381 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1 Year-2023 Thana- MAHILA PS District- Jehanabad

RAVI KUMAR SON OF SURESH PRASAD RESIDENT OF VILLAGE
DAMODAR BIGHA, POST KAKO, PS GHOSHI, DIST- JEHANABAD

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar BIHAR
2. SULEKHA DEVI DAUGHTER OF YOGENDRA PRASAD RESIDENT
OF VILLAGE AND POST- EKANGERSARAI, PS- EKANGERSARAI,
DIST- NALANDA

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. S. Arshad Alam, Advocate Mr. Anjum Perveen, Advocate Mr. P.K. Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Brajendra Nath Pandey, APP
For the Informant/s	:	Mr. Shambhu Savan, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

3 23-11-2023 Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to

remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within

a period of three weeks from today.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

3. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in

connection with Mahila P.S. Case No. 01 of 2023 dated

11.01.2023 registered for the offence punishable under Sections

498A and 506 read with 34 of the Indian Penal Code and

Sections 4 of the D.P. Act.



4. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and the co-accused persons are alleged to have tortured the informant mentally and physically due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner who is husband of the informant. The petitioner has relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of **"Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182."** Learned counsel has further submitted that Section 498A of the IPC is triable by the Magistrate. Learned counsel has further relied on the judgments in the case of **Satendra Kumar Antil Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation and Another (2022) 10 SCR 351** and **Md. Asfak Alam Vs. The State of Jharkhand & Anr passed in Criminal Appeal No(s). 2207 of 2023 arising out of Special Leave Petition (CRL.) No. 3433 of 2023.** The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances



of the case, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Jehanabad in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No. 01 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

8. If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to make an application before the Court below for referring the matter to the District Mediation Centre for the purpose of reconciliation or one time settlement.

9. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

atul/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

