

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49075 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-240 Year-2021 Thana- HATHUA District- Gopalganj

LALBABU PANDIT S/o Dineshwar Pandit Resident of Village- Machhagar
Jagdish, P.S.- Hathua, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Angad Kunwar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Awadhesh Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 23-02-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for
the offence under Sections 363 and 366(A) of the Indian
Penal Code.

The minor daughter of the informant is said to
have been abducted by the petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that the petitioner, who is of clean antecedent, is
innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He
further submits that the victim has been recovered and her
statement has been recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. in
which she has categorically stated that she has solemnized



marriage with the petitioner and no one has abducted her. He further submits that the police after investigation has submitted charge-sheet in this case against the petitioner. The petitioner is rotting in judicial custody since 30.10.2021.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the, above named, petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Hathua P.S. Case No. 240 of 2021 with the following conditions:-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be canceled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.



(3) One of the bailors shall be the father and/or mother of the petitioner.

And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

brajesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

