

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60434 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-43 Year-2017 Thana- MAHILA PS District- East Champaran

1. Amaresh Kumar @ Amresh Kumar S/O Late Laxman Mandal Resident of Gulmohar, C/31, A.G. Colony, P.S.- Shastri Nagar, Town- Patna, District- Patna
2. Bharat Bhushan Mandal @ Bharat Bhushan S/o Late Laxman Mandal Resident of Gulmohar, C/31, A.G. Colony, P.S.- Shastri Nagar, Town- Patna, District- Patna
3. Vikash Mandal @ Vikash Kumar S/o Late Laxman Mandal Resident of Gulmohar, C/31, A.G. Colony, P.S.- Shastri Nagar, Town- Patna, District- Patna
4. Sonalika Thakur @ Sonalika Kumari W/o Pritesh Thakur, D/o Late Laxman Mandal Resident of House No. G111, Phase-6, Band Road, Aya Nagar, P.S.- Fatehpur Beri, Ex New Delhi
5. Usha Mandal W/o Late Laxman Mandal Resident of Gulmohar, C/31, A.G. Colony, P.S.- Shastri Nagar, Town- Patna, District- Patna
6. Khushboo Kumari @ Khushboo Rani W/o Bharat Bhushan Mandal @ Bharat Bhushan Resident of Gulmohar, C/31, A.G. Colony, P.S.- Shastri Nagar, Town- Patna, District- Patna
7. Rina Mandal @ Rina Kumari W/o Vikash Mandal @ Vikash Kumar Resident of Gulmohar, C/31, A.G. Colony, P.S.- Shastri Nagar, Town- Patna, District- Patna

... .. Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. AMRITA KUMARI, D/o Jogendra Rai, Resident of Mohalla- Moscort, P.S.- Motihari Town, District- East Champaran.

... .. Opposite Parties

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sanjeet Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Dilip Kumar No. 1, APP
For the Opp. Party No. 2 :		Mr. Asif Kalim, Advocate
		Mr. Wasi Akhtar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

5 19-05-2023 The petitioners are challenging the order taking
cognizance dated 14.02.2019 passed in Mahila P.S. Case No. 43



of 2017, by which the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Motihari, has taken cognizance against the petitioners for the offences under Sections 341, 342, 498-A, 504 and 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code and under Sections 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

Learned counsel for both the parties have jointly submitted that the parties have settled their disputes and now there is no dispute between them and therefore, this Court may quash the F.I.R.

In view of settlement between the parties, there is no need to proceed further in the matter. Accordingly, the F.I.R. vide Mahila P.S. Case No.43 of 2017 and all other consequential proceedings arising out of the aforesaid F.I.R. including the order taking cognizance dated 14.02.2019 passed by learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Motihari, are hereby quashed in the interest of justice.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

pawan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

