

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49450 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-59 Year-2023 Thana- PIPRAHI District- Sheohar

=====

USHA DEVI W/O KAUSHALENDRA KARUNAKAR R/O VILLAGE-  
DHANKAUL, PS. PIPRAHI, DIST. SHEOHAR

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bijay Kumar Pathak, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shyameshwar Dayal, A.P.P.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      11-08-2023                      Heard Mr. Bijay Kumar Pathak, learned counsel for  
  
the petitioner and Mr. Shyameshwar Dayal, learned Additional  
  
Public Prosecutor appearing for the State.

2.      Petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection  
  
with Piprahi P.S. Case No. 59 of 2023 registered for the  
  
offence punishable under Sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal  
  
Code.

3.      As per the First Information Report, the petitioner  
  
along with other co-accused persons killed the daughter of the  
  
informant and tried to burn the deceased in the house itself,  
  
and upon being unsuccessful, the deceased was taken for  
  
cremation and when the informant came to know about the  
  
occurrence, informed the Police on 7.4.2023, upon which, the



dead body was taken into custody by the Police and sent for postmortem. It has further been alleged that the petitioner and other family members used to taunt the deceased for not to bearing a male child.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a lady who happens to be the mother-in-law of the deceased. He further submits that in the First Information Report the allegation against the petitioner is general and omnibus in nature. The husband, father-in-law and brother-in-law (*Dewar*) of the deceased are in custody.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have perused the order passed by the learned Sessions Judge, Shivhar.

6. From perusal of the impugned order it appears that the Doctor has opined the cause of death on the basis of external injury caused due to burn by pouring kerosene oil.

7. Regards being had to the submission made by the parties and taking into consideration the nature and seriousness of the allegation and cause of death due to burn by pouring kerosene oil, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory



bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

S.Ali/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

