

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1590 of 2022**

**In**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18232 of 2018**

=====

Satish Chandra Verma son of late Ishwar Chandra Prasad, resident of Mohalla- Ashok Nagar, Road No. 5, P.O.- Lohianagar, P.S.- Kankarbagh, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Smt. Suchita Gupta, the Chairman-Cum- Managing Director, National Insurance Company Limited Head Office, 3 Middleton Street, Kolkata- 71
2. Mr. Devashish Dasgupta, the General Manager (Personnel), National Insurance Company Limited, Head Office, 3 Middleton Street, Kolkata- 71
3. Mr. Shomee Roy, the Chief Regional Manager, National Insurance Company Limited, Regional Office- 2, Kolkata, National Insurance Building, 6th Floor, 8, India Exchange Place, Kolkata.
4. Mr. Vinay Kumar, the Senior Divisional Manager, National Insurance Company Ltd. Patna Division No. 1, Exhibition Road, Patna.
5. Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tewary, the Chief Regional Manager, National Insurance Company Ltd., Patna Regional Office, 4th Floor, Sone Bhawan, B.C. Patel Path, Patna.
6. Mr. Jai Prakash Choudhary, the Branch Manager, National Insurance Company Ltd., Kankarbagh Branch, Patna.
7. Mr. Arijit Biswas, the Branch Manager, National Insurance Company Ltd., Balurghat Branch (West Bengal), Chandrarajagat Building, Dunlop More, P.O.- Balurghat, District- Dinajpur (West Bengal).

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                               |
|----------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Prashant Sinha, Advocate  |
| For the NICC         | : | Mr. Mritunjay Kumar, Advocate |
|                      |   | Mr. Ram Ganesh, Advocate      |
|                      |   | Ms. Shilpi Singh, Advocate    |
|                      |   | Mr. Vibhuti Kumar, Advocate   |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      15-02-2023      The petitioner was penalized with two penalties, one is reversion and another is dismissal. Against both the orders, he is stated to have preferred appeal. However, insofar as reversion



order is concerned, they had filed C.W.J.C. No. 18232 of 2018. It is learnt that he has also filed Interlocutory Application insofar as challenging of dismissal order in C.W.J.C. No. 18232 of 2018 and it was not allowed.

In the light of these facts and circumstances, order dated 11.07.2022 is in compliance with the order dated 09.12.2021 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 18232 of 2018.

Accordingly, present contempt petition stands dropped.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner is seeking liberty to question the inaction on the part of the appellate authority insofar as dismissal is concerned, the same is hereby rejected, for the reasons that scope of contempt petition is limited, since dismissal order was not the subject matter of C.W.J.C.

**(P. B. Bajanthri, J)**

shoaib/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

