

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4433 of 2013

1. Kanni Lal Sah, S/O Late Ram Dayal Sah Resident Of Village- Pahleja, P.S-Dehri, District- Rohtas.
2. Ram Nath Sah, S/O Late Darshan Singh Resident Of Village- Pahleja, P.S-Dehri, District- Rohtas.
3. Ram Kishor Sah, S/O Late Darshan Sah Resident Of Village- Pahleja, P.S-Dehri, District- Rohtas.
4. Naw Rang Prasad, S/O Late Darshan Sah Resident Of Village- Pahleja, P.S-Dehri, District- Rohtas.
5. Shri Ram Prasad, S/O Late Gopal Sah Resident Of Village- Pahleja, P.S-Dehri, District- Rohtas.
6. Baj Rangi Prasad, S/O Late Kanhai Sah, Resident Of Village- Pahleja, P.S-Dehri, District- Rohtas.
7. Sunil Kumar Soni, S/O Jagu Sah Resident Of Village- Pahleja, P.S- Dehri, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Revenue Department Govt. Of Bihar, Patna.
2. District Magistrate, Cum Collector, Rohtas.
3. Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Dehri Rohtas
4. Circle Officer, Dehri, Rohtas.
5. Rajiv Gupta, S/O Late Ram Pukar Seth, Resident Of Mohalla- Sasaram, P.S- Sasaram, District- Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rajendra Prasad, Sr. Advocate Mr. Ritesh Kumar, Advocate Mr. Rajesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Upendra Pratap Singh, A.C to S.C-4

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

3 25-02-2023 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioners have filed the instant application for
the following relief(s):-



“That this application is being filed for quashing of the order dated 21.6.2012, 28.6.2012 and 28.7.2012 passed by D.C.L.R., Dehri (Rohtas) in Misc. Case No.1 of 2012-13 and for ad interim order staying the operation of the aforesaid orders being passed during the pendency of this writ application.”

At the outset, it is submitted by learned counsel appearing for the respondent-State that the petitioners have an alternate and efficacious remedy of filing a revision application against the order impugned under section 8 of the Bihar Land Mutation Act, 2011.

After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioners having heard the observation of this Court, seeks permission to withdraw this application to move before the appropriate authority by filing a revision application under the Act.

The writ application stands disposed of as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

In case, the revision application is preferred within a period of eight weeks from today, in considering the application filed for condonation of delay in filing of the revision application, the authority concerned shall take into consideration



the period for which the instant case was being pursued in this
Court.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

avinash/-

U			
---	--	--	--

