

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11740 of 2012

=====

Rubi Kumari, Wife of Sri Manoj Kumar Sudhanshu, resident of Mohalla-
North Rajendra nagar, Sanhauli, Police Station-Chitragupta Nagar, District-
Khagaria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Home,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Central Selection Board (Constable Recruitment) 4th Floor, Pant
Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna through its Secretary.
3. The Chairman, Central Selection Board (Constable Recruitment)-cum-
Inspector General, Bihar Military Police, Patna, 4th Floor, Pant Bhawan,
Bailey Road, Patna.
4. The Secretary, Central Selection Board (Constable Recruitment)-cum-
Inspector General, Bihar Military Police, Patna, 4th Floor, Pant Bhawan,
Bailey Road, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate Mr. Prashant Kumar, Advocate
For the CSBC	:	Mr. Sanjay Pandey, Advocate Mr. Binod Kumar Mishra, Advocate Mr. Vivek Anand Amritesh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 14-12-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent no.2 to 4 (Central
Selection Board of Constable).

2. The present writ petition has been filed for
directing the respondent to appoint the petitioner on the post of



constable and include the name of the petitioner in the list of the successful candidates because the name of the petitioner purposely has been left by the authorities.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to the Advertisement No.2/2009 published by respondent no.2 the petitioner has applied for the same and petitioner has fulfill all the requisites qualification as prescribed in the advertisement and thereafter the respondent no.2 has issued admit card to the petitioner for appearing in the examination in question. Petitioner had appeared in the written examination on 01.05.2010 and thereafter the petitioner has appeared in the physical examination test on 23.09.2010 and after all the test the petitioner declared successful in physical test but in the final selection list name of the petitioner does not figer.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent no.2 to 4 (Central Selection Board of Constable) submits that the petitioner is belong to the Extremely Backward Female Non Home Guard (EBC-F-NHG) category and she has secured lesser marks than the last recommended candidate in her category and the petitioner had secured only 8 marks and the cut off marks in her category was 29 marks, so name of the petitioner does not



figer in the list of selected candidates.

5. It is admitted position that the petitioner has awarded lesser mark than the last selected candidates who has been awarded 29 marks, so that the authority had rightly not selected the petitioner for the post in question.

6. There is no merit in the writ petition. Accordingly dismissed.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Prakash Narayan

U			
---	--	--	--

