

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8361 of 2015

=====

Amarendra Sah S/o Late Ramsewak Sah, Resident of Village and Post-Ashani, P.S.- Rasulpur, District- Saran, at present Slum Quarter No. 93, P.O. and P.S.- Kankerbagh, Patna- 20, Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
3. The Commissioner and Secretary, Personnel and Administrative Reforms Department, Govt. of Bihar, Pa
4. The Commissioner and Secretary, Human Resource Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
5. The Director Primary Education, Human Resource Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
6. The Commissioner Provident Fund, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
7. The Assistant Commissioner Provident Fund, Pant Bhawan, Patna
8. The Director Provident Fund, Pant Bhawan, Patna
9. The District Provident Fund Officer, Patna
10. The District Magistrate, Patna
11. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Patna
12. The District Programme Officer Establishment Patna

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Amarendra Kumar Pathak, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Rampravesh Nath Tiwary, A.C. to S.C.-25.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

5 03-10-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondent.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to the direction of this Court, supplementary counter affidavit dated 14.12.2018 has been filed on behalf of the District Programme Officer (Establishment), Patna, respondent



no.12, bringing on record order contained in Memo no.9102 dated 12.12.2018 (Annexure-R/1) and letter no.9118 dated 13.12.2018 (Annexure-R/2), both issued by the District Programme Officer (Establishment), Patna. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that during pendency of the writ application, the petitioner has retired on 3.10.2023.

3. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that so far as this writ application is concerned, nothing remains for the present.

4. In view of the content of the supplementary affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent no.12, as referred to hereinabove and submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this application stands disposed of.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

sanjeev/-

U			
---	--	--	--

