

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2870 of 2012

=====

Basanti Devi Wife Of Sri Tara Bhushan Prasad Resident Of Village -
Dharampur, Police Station - Shakurabad, Block - Ratani Faridpur, District -
Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate, Jehanabad
3. The District Education Officer, Jehanabad
4. The District Programme Officer, Jehanabad
5. The District Level Co-Ordinator, Jehanabad
6. The Block Co-Ordinator, Ratani Faridpur, Jehanabad
7. The Headmaster-Cum-Secretary, Saksharta Samiti Abhiyan, Middle School
Dharampur Saraiya, Gram Panchayat Narayanpur, Block Ratani Faridpur,
Jehanabad
8. The Mukhiya Cum-Chairman, Saksharta Samiti Abhiyan, Middle School
Dharampur Saraiya, Gram Panchayat Narayanpur, Block - Ratani Faridpur,
Jehanabad
9. Priti Ranjan Daughter Of Sachidanand Prasad Resident Of Village and P.O. -
Gajani Bigha, Police Station - Shakurabad, Jehanabad

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Madanjeet Kumar, AC to SC 4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

9 14-12-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for
directing the respondents to issue appointment letter to the
petitioner on the post of Prerak in Gram Panchayat, Narayanpur,
Block Ratani, Faridpur, District- Jehanabad.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner was competent according with rule for the post in question but the authority has appointed respondent no. 9 to the said post in question and so he has challenged the appointment of respondent no. 9.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State has filed counter affidavit today which is kept on the record in which he has clearly stated that the post in question has been made abolished by the Government of India w.e.f 31.03.2018 and the same has been communicated to the authority concerned vide letter dated 17.09.2018.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner outrightly submits that in view of the letter annexed with the counter affidavit, the present writ petition has become infructuous. Hence, he seeks permission to withdraw this writ petition.

6. Permission is accorded.

7. Accordingly, this writ petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

