

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.46745 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-34 Year-2022 Thana- MURAR District- Buxar

=====

Sintu Yadav @ Sintu Kumar Yadav S/O Ganpat Yadav Resident Of Village-
Konhi, P.S.- Murar, District- Buxar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Brajendra Nath Pandey, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

3 11-01-2023 Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to
make necessary correction in the prayer portion of the petition.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP
for the State and perused the case diary.

The petitioner is in custody in a case registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 304B/34 of the Indian Penal
Code.

Allegedly, it is a case of dowry death due to non-
fulfilment of dowry demand.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. He further
submits that the petitioner is husband of the deceased and she
committed suicide by hanging. He further submits that the
petitioner was married in the month of May, 2021 with Priti



Kumari (deceased) and was residing in the West Bengal. He further submits that schooling life of the deceased spent at Raniganj (West Bengal) but she was married with the petitioner in the village, therefore, her nature was not matching in the village culture as such, she was not happy in the village, therefore, she committed suicide in her room and when the door of the room was not opened by the deceased, the door was broken by the family members of the in-laws and the father of the petitioner, namely, Ganpat Yadav informed through phone to the informant on 20.04.2022 at about 12.30 and stated that the deceased has committed suicide by hanging with the ceiling fan. It is also submitted that during trial, the informant has not supported the prosecution case. Petitioner is in custody since 20.05.2022.

Learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the prayer for regular bail.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sessions Judge, Buxar



in connection with Sessions Trial No. 424 of 2022 arising out of
Murar P.S. Case No. 34 of 2022.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

Brajesh Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

