

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.47099 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-334 Year-2022 Thana- WARISLIGANJ District- Nawada

Ranjeet Chauhan, Son of Late Yogendra Chauhan, Resident of village -
Begrajpur, P.S. - Warisaliganj, Distt. - Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vibhuti Ranjan Sonvadra, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Akhileshwar Dayal, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 01-08-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Warisaliganj P.S. Case No. 334 of 2022 dated 04.07.2022
registered for the offences punishable under Section 302/34 of
the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution, it is alleged that this
petitioner along with other named co-accused persons had an
altercation with the victim, owing to which this petitioner and
other co-accused namely Mukesh Chauhan fired gun shots at
the victim (now deceased), who happened to be the father of
this petitioner.

4. The main submissions advanced by learned
counsel for the petitioner are that the petitioner has fair and



clean antecedent and the informant is step-mother of the petitioner and she is habitual in filing cases as she previously filed cases against her ex-husband and in between both the parties, a land dispute is running which is stated to be the genesis of the occurrence. Further submissions are that as per the allegation levelled in the FIR, this petitioner and co-accused Mukesh Chauhan fired at the deceased, who happened to be the father of this petitioner but as per the *post-mortem* report, only one entry and exit point caused by the firearm injury was found on the body of the deceased which shows that the deceased sustained only one gun-shot injury.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the bail prayer.

6. Heard both the sides and perused the FIR. The deceased is stated to be the father of this petitioner and against the petitioner and co-accused Mukesh Chauhan, there is specific allegation of having fired at the deceased. Considering the seriousness of the accusation appearing against this petitioner this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, his prayer for bail stands rejected.

7. The trial Court is directed to expedite the trial of this petitioner and take steps to conclude the same in the next



one year and if the trial of the petitioner is not concluded within the said period then the petitioner may renew his bail prayer.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

annu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

