

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.46670 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-140 Year-2022 Thana- MANSI District- Khagaria

=====

Bikash Yadav @ Bikash Kumar @ Bikram Kumar, Son of Ramanand Yadav,  
Resident of village - Amani, P.S. - Mansi, Distt. - Khagaria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bishweshwar Ram, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dinesh Singh, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      28-07-2023                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the  
  
learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with  
  
Mansi P.S. Case No. 140 of 2022 dated 28.04.2022 registered  
  
for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 326, 307  
  
and 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms  
  
Act.

3. The main submissions advanced by learned counsel  
  
for the petitioner are that in the FIR, altogether 12 persons  
  
including the petitioner have been named and three co-accused  
  
persons have been shown as unknown and the main allegation  
  
of causing firearm injury to the informant is against co-accused  
  
Ramen Yadav and the petitioner's specific role in the alleged  
  
crime has not been revealed in the FIR and the allegation  
  
concerned to him is general and omnibus and in fact, Mansi P.S.



Case No. 06/2022 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 307, 504, 506 of IPC and Section 27 of the Arms Act had been lodged by this petitioner against the informant prior to the institution of the present FIR, due to this enmity the petitioner has been implicated in this case. Further submissions are that co-accused Fudo Yadav has been granted bail by the Trial Court itself and the petitioner has been languishing in jail since 07.02.2023 and against him there is criminal antecedent of one case in which he is on bail.

4. Learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the bail prayer.

5. Considering the above submissions and mainly the facts that in the FIR, there is no specific allegation against this petitioner and several persons have been named in the FIR, in my opinion it is a fit case for bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, let the petitioner named-above be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Court concerned in connection with Mansi P.S. Case No. 140 of 2022.

**(Shailendra Singh, J)**

annu/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

