

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4094 of 2016

=====

Srimati Rajniti Prakash W/o Devendra Prasad, Resident of Mohalla - Unta,
Ward No. 2, P.S. - Jehanabad, District - Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate, Jehanabad.
3. The District Supply Officer, Jehanabad.
4. The Assistant District Supply Officer, Jehanabad.
5. The Sub - Divisional Supply Officer - cum - Licensing Officer, Jehanabad.
6. The Block Development Officer, Jehanabad.
7. The Block Supply Officer, Jehanabad.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajesh Kumar, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Manindra Kishore Singh, SC 6

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH
SHARMA**

ORAL ORDER

4 18-03-2023 The petitioner challenged the order dated 23.07.2015

(Annexure-7), passed by respondent no.5, namely the Sub-
Divisional Supply Officer-cum-Licensing Officer, Jehanabad,
vide Memo No. 2762, whereby his licence for PDS dealership
was cancelled. The petitioner also challenged the order dated
08.12.2015, passed in Supply Case No. 31/D.M./2015, by
respondent no.2, namely the District Magistrate, Jehanabad,
whereby appeal preferred against the said order was rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after
passing of the appellate order, the petitioner has preferred this



writ petition and the same has been pending for almost 7 years.

This Court finds that the P.D.S. dealership licence of the petitioner was cancelled in the year 2015 and more than 7 years have passed by. In lieu thereof, at this stage, it would not be appropriate to examine the matter and by efflux of time, the present writ petition has rendered infructuous and no relief of renewing/restoring of licence can be granted to him after 7 years of cancellation of licence. However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall be always eligible to apply again for the PDS dealership, if he so chooses.

As such, with the above observations, the present writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous by efflux of time with aforesaid liberty to the petitioner.

Suraj/-
Item No. 50

(Sanjeev Prakash Sharma, J)

U			
---	--	--	--

